UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/531,492 04/15/2005 Yasumichi Hitoshi 02 20350 7590 08/23/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP	21044-002430US	2678
	EVAN	
	EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER	UNGAR, SUSAN NMN	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1642	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/531,492	HITOSHI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Susan Ungar	1642		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ag This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
	·			
		•		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 1642

1. Claims 1-37 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1

Page 2

Group 1, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 37-in-part drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide and drawn to peptide 35.

Group 2, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 3, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 24 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 4, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 24 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 5, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 25 drawn to a method for

Art Unit: 1642

identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/small organic molecule upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 6, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 25 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/small organic compound upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 7, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 8, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 35 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 9, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 37-in-part drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 10, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22 drawn to a method for identifying a

compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 11, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 24 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 12, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 24 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 13, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 25 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/small organic molecule upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 14, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 25 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/small organic compound upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 15, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for

identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 16, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 38 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 17, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 37-in-part drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 18, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 19, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 24 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 20, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 24 drawn to a method for

identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 21, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 25 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/small organic molecule upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 22, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 25 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/small organic compound upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 23, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 24, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 40 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 25, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 37-in-part drawn to a method for

identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 26, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antibody upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 27, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 24 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 28, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 24 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/antisense upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 29, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 25 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/small organic molecule upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 30, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 25 drawn to a method for

identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/small organic compound upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 31, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/physical effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 32, claims 1-in-part, 2, 5-6, 9-22, 26-27 drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates cellular proliferation comprising contacting the compound with a peptide 41 binding partner and determining the functional/chemical or phenotypic effect of the compound/peptide upon the binding partner polypeptide.

Group 33, claims 28-in-part, 29-31 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 35, wherein the compound is an antibody.

Group 34, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 32 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 35, wherein the compound is an antisense.

Group 35, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 33 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 35, wherein

Art Unit: 1642

the compound is a small organic molecule.

Group 36, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 35-36 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 35, wherein the compound is a peptide.

Group 37, claims 28-in-part, 29-31 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 38, wherein the compound is an antibody.

Group 38, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 32 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 38, wherein the compound is an antisense.

Group 39, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 33 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 38, wherein the compound is a small organic molecule.

Group 40, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 35-36 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 38, wherein the compound is a peptide.

Group 41, claims 28-in-part, 29-31 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 40, wherein the compound is an antibody.

Group 42, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 32 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 40, wherein the compound is an antisense.

Group 43, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 33 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 40, wherein the compound is a small organic molecule.

Group 44, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 35-36 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 40, wherein the compound is a peptide.

Group 45, claims 28-in-part, 29-31 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 41, wherein the compound is an antibody.

Group 46, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 32 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 41, wherein the compound is an antisense.

Group 47, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 33 drawn to a method of modulating cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 41, wherein the compound is a small organic molecule.

Group 48, claims 28-in-part, 29-30, 35-36 drawn to a method of modulating

Art Unit: 1642

cellular proliferation in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound that has a functional effect on peptide 41, wherein the compound is a peptide.

Page 11

Group 49, claim 37-in-part, drawn to a peptide comprising peptide 38.
Group 50, claim 37-in-part, drawn to a peptide comprising peptide 40.
Group 51, claim 37-in-part, drawn to a peptide comprising peptide 41.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

A national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. When claims to different categories are present in the application, the claims will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3) (a) and 1.476 (c), 37 C.F.R. 1.475(b) and (d). Group I will be the main invention. After that, all other products and methods will be broken out as separate groups (see 37 CFR 1.475(d).)

Art Unit: 1642

Group 1, claims 1-in-part, 2-4, 6-8, 14-22, 37-in-part form a single general inventive concept.

Page 12

Groups 2-48 are methods additional.

Groups 49-51 are drawn to peptides not used in the methods of Group 1.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).
- 6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Art Unit: 1642

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Page 13

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against

Application/Control Number: 10/531,492 Page 14

Art Unit: 1642

double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (571) 272-0837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley, can be reached at 571-272-0898. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (571) 273-8300.

Effective, February 7, 1998, the Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1642.

Susan Ungar, PhD

Primary Patent Examiner

August 10, 2007